Canonical analytical solutions of wave-induced thermoelastic attenuation
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SUMMARY

Thermoelastic attenuation is similar to wave-induced fluid-flow attenuation (mesoscopic loss) due to conversion of the fast P wave to the slow (Biot) S mode. In the thermoelastic case, the P- and S-wave energies are lost because of thermal diffusion. The thermal mode is diffusive at low frequencies and wave-like at high frequencies, in the same manner as the Biot slow mode. Therefore, at low frequencies, that is, neglecting the inertial terms, a mathematical analogy can be established between the diffusion equations in poroelasticity and thermoelasticity. We study thermoelastic dissipation for spherical and cylindrical cavities (or pores) in 2-D and 3-D, respectively, and a finely layered system, where, in the latter case, only the Grüneisen ratio is allowed to vary. The results show typical quality-factor relaxation curves similar to Zener peaks. There is no dissipation when the radius of the pores tends to zero and the layers have the same properties. Although idealized, these canonical solutions are useful to study the physics of thermoelasticity and test numerical algorithm codes that simulate thermoelastic dissipation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The theory of thermoelasticity is based on the heat equation coupled with the theory of dynamic elasticity, specifically, it describes the relation between the fields of stress-deformation and temperature. The theory is relevant for geophysical studies such as seismic attenuation (Zener 1938; Treitel 1959; Savage 1966; Armstrong 1984). Basically, a source of elastic waves induces a temperature field and heat flow equalizes the temperature difference with the surroundings giving rise to the energy dissipation. Similarly, a heat source generates viscoelastic waves and anelastic deformations.

Biot (1956) used the differential equations based on the Fourier law of heat conduction, but this formulation has unphysical solutions such as discontinuities and infinite velocities as a function of frequency, since it is based on a parabolic-type differential equation. This behaviour is typical of pure diffusion equations. A more general (physical) system of equations is based on a hyperbolic heat equation. Carcione et al. (2018b) used the equations of Lord & Shulman (1967) to obtain finite phase velocities at all frequencies and compute synthetic seismograms. Carcione et al. (2019) generalized these equations to the poroelastic case by combining Biot’s equations (e.g. Carcione 2014) with those of Lord & Shulman (1967). The thermoelastic theory predicts two P waves and an S wave, the latter not affected by the thermal effects in the homogeneous case.

The P waves are an elastic wave (E wave) and a thermal wave (T wave) having similar characteristics to the fast and slow P waves of poroelasticity (e.g. Carcione 2014). Indeed, Carcione et al. (2019) show that the Biot slow wave and the thermal wave co-exist and have the same behaviour, that is, diffusive at low frequencies and wave-like at high frequencies.

In geophysical applications, both the thermal wave and the Biot slow P wave are diffusive. The diffusive character of the Biot wave is the cause of attenuation of the fast P wave by means of the mechanism called mesoscopic attenuation or wave-induced fluid-flow attenuation (e.g. Müller et al. 2010). Energy transfer between wave modes, with P-wave to slow P (Biot)-wave conversion being the main physical mechanism. The mesoscopic-scale length is intended to be larger than the grain sizes but much smaller than the wavelength of the pulse. In the thermoelastic case, the heterogeneities and/or cracks or cavities are much smaller than the signal wavelength. Zener (1938) explained the physics of thermoelastic attenuation in homogeneous media: ‘Stress inhomogeneities in a vibrating body give rise to fluctuations in temperature, and hence to local heat currents. These heat currents increase the entropy of the vibrating solid, and hence are a source of internal friction’. Basically, the temperature variation caused by the passage of the P wave provides the gradient from which the thermal dissipation and attenuation occur. Zener (1946) anticipated the concept of attenuation
due to diffusion, where he mentions thermal, atomic and magnetic diffusion as the causes. The Biot slow mode represents loss due to fluid-pressure diffusion.

Moreover, Armstrong (1984) found that the distribution and correlation of heterogeneities play an important role in the determination of the frequency dependence of thermal dissipation, and here the wave conversion is an additional loss mechanism. At low frequencies, the thermal mode is diffusive and is responsible for the attenuation and velocity dispersion of the $P$ and $S$ waves. Since the inertial terms are neglected, as in the mesoscopic-loss case [see eq. (6) in Carcione et al. (2011) and Chapter 7 in Santos & Gauzelli (2017)], the equation to be solved is of purely diffusive type.

We first establish the analogy between the diffusion equations in poroelasticity and thermoelasticity, and then study the solutions proposed by Savage (1966) for empty round pores, by Armstrong (1984) for a periodic system of thin slabs with the condition that no heat escape the system, and boundary conditions that impose continuity of temperature and thermal current across the slab boundaries. Savage (1966)’s theory underestimates attenuation in rocks, possibly due to the fact that cracks do not interact. He reports an error in Zener (1938)’s eq. (18), for computing the dilation due to a shear strain in the presence of spherical cavities. In this case, $P$ and $S$ waves suffer attenuation and dispersion due to shear loss, while there are no losses due to dilatational deformations. On the other hand, Armstrong (1984) provides the solution for the $P$-wave quality factor across a set of periodic layers.

In all the cases, we use a method proposed by O’Donnell et al. (1981), based on the Kramers–Kronig relations (e.g. Carcione et al. 2018a) to obtain the phase velocities and complex moduli.

2 DIFFUSION EQUATION OF THERMOELASTICITY AND ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

Let us define by $\varepsilon_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, 3$ the components of the strain field, by $\sigma_{ij}$ the components of the stress tensor and by $T$ the increment of temperature above a reference absolute temperature $T_0$ for the state of zero stress and strain. In a linear isotropic medium, the stress–strain relations of thermoelasticity are given by [Biot (1956), eq. (2.2)]

$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \varepsilon_{ij} + (\lambda + \mu) \beta T \delta_{ij},$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the trace of the strain tensor, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the Lamé constants,

$$\beta = (3\lambda + 2\mu)\alpha = 3\alpha K,$$

$K$ is the bulk modulus, $\alpha$ is the coefficient of linear thermal expansion (the volumetric one is $3\alpha$; e.g. Carcione et al. 2018b) and $\delta_{ij}$ is Kronecker’s delta. If we neglect inertial terms, the stress equilibrium equation is

$$\partial_t \sigma_{ij} = 0$$

[Biot (1956), eq. (10.1)].

The law of heat conduction is

$$\gamma \Delta T = c \dot{T} + \beta T_0 \dot{\varepsilon}$$

[Landau & Lifshitz (1970), eq. (32.2); Mainardi (2010), eq. (3.43)], where $\gamma$ is the coefficient of heat conduction (or thermal conductivity), $c$ is the specific heat per unit volume, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian and $\dot{\varepsilon}$ a dot above a variable denotes time derivative. (In many publications $c = \rho C$ and $3\alpha = \alpha_c$, being $C$ and $\alpha$, the specific heat and volumetric thermal expansion, respectively, and $\rho$ is the mass density). Eq. (4) assumes that the change in temperature is small and proportional to the trace of the strain tensor [Landau & Lifshitz (1970), eq. (6.3)], that is, variations in temperature-induced volume changes, provided that the medium is homogeneous.

Since there are several distinct definitions of the thermoelastic constants in the literature [e.g. compare eq. (4) to that of Savage (1966)], we indicate here the units of the different constants in the MKS system:

$$\lambda, \mu, K \rightarrow \text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2} \text{(or Pa)},$$

$$\gamma \rightarrow \text{m kg}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1},$$

$$c \rightarrow \text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1},$$

$$\beta \rightarrow \text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1},$$

$$\alpha \rightarrow \text{K}^{-1},$$

$$T_0 \rightarrow \text{K}.$$ (5)

The analogy between poroelasticity and thermoelasticity is established in Appendix A. Both fields obey a diffusion equation at low frequencies when neglecting inertial (acceleration) terms. The analogy identifies temperature with fluid pressure and thermal diffusivity, $d_t$, with hydraulic diffusivity, $d_h$, such that

$$d_t = \left( \frac{\gamma}{c + \beta T_0 / E} \right) \leftrightarrow M \left( \frac{K}{\eta} \right) \left( \frac{K_w + 4\mu u_s / 3}{K_G + 4\mu u_s / 3} \right) = d_h,$$ (6)

where the properties are defined in Appendix A. Basically, there is a correspondence between the quantities $\gamma$ and $1/\eta$, that is, the heat and fluid fluxes increase with increasing thermal conductivity and decreasing fluid viscosity. Both thermal diffusivities have units of m$^2$ s$^{-1}$. The thermal diffusivity relates the adiabatic and isothermal $P$-wave moduli as $E_s = E_t / c$ (see Appendix A). Typical values are 1.3, 1.1 and 0.8 mm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for sandstone, limestone and shale, compared to 0.15, 20 and 114 mm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for water, air and copper (Robertson 1988).

Appendices B and C provide the solutions for the quality factors obtained by Savage (1966) for empty round pores or by Armstrong (1984) for a periodic finely layered medium. In poroelasticity, the mesoscopic-loss mechanism is associated with the presence of the Biot slow wave. The critical fluid-diffusion relaxation length is $L = \sqrt{d_h / \omega}$ (Carcione 2014, section 7.13). The fluid pressures will be equilibrated if $L$ is comparable to the period of the stratification. For smaller diffusion lengths (e.g. higher frequencies), the pressures will not be equilibrated, causing attenuation and velocity dispersion. In thermoelasticity, the heat currents induced by the mechanical waves have a diffusion length $L = \sqrt{d_t / \omega}$. When this length has the size of the pores or layers, maximum attenuation is expected.

To obtain the phase velocity, we use an approximation reported by O’Donnell et al. (1981), based on the Kramers–Kronig relations (Carcione et al. 2018a). The phase velocity, $c_{ph}$ is related to the attenuation factor, $A$, as

$$\frac{1}{c_0} - \frac{1}{c_{ph}(\omega)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega} A(\omega') \omega'^{-2} d\omega',$$ (7)

where $c_0$ is a reference velocity at $\omega_0$ that can be assumed the low-frequency limit ($\omega_0 \approx 0$). For low-loss solids ($Q \gg 1$), we have $A \approx \omega / (2c_0 Q)$ and

$$\frac{c_0}{c_{ph}(\omega)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega} \frac{Q^{-1}(\omega')}{\omega'} d\omega'.$$ (8)

We can get the complex wave modulus, $P$, from the phase velocity and $Q$ factor, where $P$ can be the shear or the $P$-wave complex
modulus. Define
\[ P = P_k + P_i = (p + iq)^2, \]  
(9)
where the subindices denote real and imaginary parts. Then
\[ P_k = p^2 - q^2, \quad \text{and} \quad P_i = 2pq. \]  
(10)

Since the phase velocity satisfies \( p c_{ph}^2 \approx \text{Re}^2(\sqrt{P}) = p^2 \) (for \( Q \gg 1 \)) and \( P_R = Q p_i \), we obtain \( q \approx p/(2Q) \). Hence, \( P_k = p^2[1 - 1/(4Q^2)] \) and \( P_i = p^2/Q \), so that
\[ P(\omega) = p c_{ph}^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{4Q^2} + \frac{i}{Q}\right) \approx p c_{ph}^2(\omega) \left(1 + \frac{i}{Q(\omega)}\right). \]  
(11)

If the complex shear and \( P \)-wave moduli obtained above are \( \mu \), and \( E_c = K_c + 4\mu/3 \), where \( K_c \) is the complex modulus, this is given by \( K_c = E_c - 4\mu/3 \).

### 3 EXAMPLES

The medium considered by Savage (1966) is granite, but the values of \( K/K \) and \( \sigma \) (Poisson ratio) assumed by him lead to a porosity greater than 1 according to eq. (B3), so we discard this example. Actually, the theory holds for small porosities, since the cavities do not interact (Eshelby 1957). Here, we assume an ideal medium with a high thermal expansion coefficient, to obtain a high dissipation, and the following properties:
\[ \alpha : 10^{-3} \, \text{K}^{-1}, \]  
\[ \gamma/c : 5 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}, \]  
\[ \Gamma = \beta/c : 1.1, \]  
\[ K/K : 1.18, \]  
\[ \sigma : 0.17, \]  
\[ K : 39 \, \text{GPa} = 39 \times 10^9 \, \text{kg} \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}, \]  
\[ a : 0.2 \, \text{mm} = 0.0002 \, \text{m}, \]  
\[ T_0 : 300 \, \text{K}. \]  

The porosity and \( \bar{\mu}/\mu \) can be obtained from eq. (B3), with
\[ \phi = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{K}{K} - 1 \right) \frac{2 - 4\sigma}{1 - \sigma}. \]  
(13)

For spherical voids, we obtain \( \phi = 9.5 \) per cent, \( \mu/\bar{\mu} = 1.19, \beta = 3aK = 117 \times 10^6 \, \text{kg} \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}, K, \gamma = 532 \, \text{kg} \text{m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}, K, \bar{K} = 33 \, \text{GPa}, \mu = 33 \, \text{GPa}, \bar{\mu} = 27.6 \, \text{GPa}, \) \( \sigma = 0.173 \), and \( \bar{E} = \bar{K} + 4\bar{\mu}/3 = 70 \, \text{GPa} \) [note that \( \mu = 3K(1 - 2\gamma/2 + 2\sigma)] \) (see Appendix B for the definition of the above properties).

Fig. 1 shows the relaxation peaks corresponding to spherical pores. As can be seen, increasing the sphere radius the peaks move to the lower frequencies, but the peak dissipation factor (inverse of \( Q \)) remains constant. Zero radius implies that the peak moves to infinite and the dissipation is zero at all frequencies. Since the attenuation factor is proportional to the frequency (Carcione 2014, eq. 2.123), this factor increases almost linearly with frequency. It is believed that the Zener model (see Appendix D) is a representation of the thermoelastic peak (Zener 1938). Fig. 2 compares the \( S \)-wave and Zener dissipation factors, where \( f_0 \) and the minimum quality factor, \( Q_0 \), is the same for both peaks, but the results are dissimilar. The comparison between the 2-D (cylindrical) and 3-D (spherical) cases is shown in Fig. 3. In the 2-D case, the dissipation is much weaker, while the peak has moved slightly to lower frequencies. Fig. 4 shows the Zener fit, which in this case is narrower than the thermoelastic curve, as in the spherical case (Fig. 2). In all the cases, the \( P \)-wave dissipation is smaller than the \( S \)-wave one.
Let us compute the S-wave phase velocity of the medium. We assume density \( \rho = (1 - \phi) \rho_s \approx 2400 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \), where \( \rho_s = 2650 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \). Fig. 5 shows the S-wave phase velocity as a function of frequency, compared to that of the Zener model for the 3-D (a) and 2-D (b) cases. The dispersion is stronger for the thermoelastic peaks. This is related to the width of the peaks, with the Zener one as a reference. A narrower peak implies less velocity dispersion. An estimation of the phase-velocity dispersion based on the Zener model is approximately \( \Delta c_{\text{ph}} \approx \frac{c_0}{Q_0} \) (Carcione et al. 2018a), which agrees with the results in the plots. For P waves, the phase velocities show a similar behaviour, where \( \mu \) has to be replaced by \( K_c + 4\mu /3 \), and the quality factor is scaled by the quantity \( 1.5(1 - \bar{\sigma})/(1 - 2\bar{\sigma}) \) (see eq. B1).

Next, we consider the solution for the P-wave quality factor of a periodic sequence of slabs of thickness \( h \) (fine layering), corresponding to a solution reported by Armstrong (1984, eq. 26; see Appendix C). In this case, only the Grüneisen ratio is allowed to vary. First, we assume properties of the same order of magnitude of the previous example, with \( \Gamma_1 = 1.1, \Gamma_2 = 2, K = \mu = 39 \text{ GPa}, c = 106 \times 10^6 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1} \), \( \gamma/c = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} = 5 \text{ mm} \text{ s}^{-1} \), \( E = 91 \text{ GPa} \) and \( \rho = 2650 \text{ kg m}^{-3} \). Then, \( \gamma = 532 \text{ m kg s}^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1} \). \( \beta_1 = c\Gamma_1, l_1 = 1, 2, \beta_1 = \beta_1/(3K) = 0.0010 \text{ K}^{-1} \) and \( \beta_2 = \beta_2/(3K) = 0.0018 \text{ K}^{-1} \). Fig. 6 shows the P-wave quality factor as a function of frequency for \( h = 0.8 \text{ mm} \), which is equal to the diameter of the pores considered in one of the previous examples. The Zener fit is also shown, where, in this case, it provides a better match. The higher attenuation at high frequencies implies more dispersion. The attenuation is due to the difference between the Grüneisen ratios of the layers. If this difference is zero, there is no loss, since \( Q_0 \to \infty \) (see eq. C3). The location of the peak is approximately given by eq.
The Debye peak exhibited by the classical Zener model. An application of the fractional model to pressure-diffusion loss can be found in Picotti & Carcione (2017).

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained analytical solutions of the thermoelastic problem in media with cavities or pores (a dry porous medium) and a set of thin periodic layers. The loss mechanism is that of conversion of mechanical energy in the form of deformations and/or waves to the slow thermal mode, similar to the wave-induced fluid-flow attenuation in poroelasticity. Other ‘non-Biot’ dissipation mechanisms, such as internal friction and local heat flow (squirt flow in poroelasticity), have to be included ‘ad hoc’ in the background media in the case of cavities and in the single layers in the case of fine layering. Existing solutions provide the quality factor, whereas here we have also obtained the phase velocity and complex modulus as a function of frequency by using the Kramers–König relations. The resulting relaxation curves resemble peaks obtained with the standard-linear solid (Zener) mechanical model, although there are some differences, mainly in the case of cavities.

In the 2-D case (cylindrical pores), the peak is wider and dissipation is weaker compared to the case of spherical 3-D pores, and the P-wave dissipation is smaller than the S-wave one. An example of periodic layers, with realistic values of the thermoelastic properties, typical of the Earth’s mantle, gives a P-wave quality factor in agreement with experimental data. In all the cases, the location of the relaxation peaks move to the low frequencies when the size of the pores or the layer thickness increases. Moreover, attenuation (and velocity dispersion) increases with the medium temperature and thermal expansion coefficient.

The solutions obtained herein for these idealized problems provide analytic formulae for the thermal dissipation over the entire frequency range, which are useful to test numerical algorithms, as for instance finite-element harmonic experiments to obtain the bulk and shear complex moduli due to heat currents. This analysis will be extended to the case of elliptical cavities (cracks) and a succession of thin layers with a random, uncorrelated distribution of the Grüniesen ratio.
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Here, we obtain an analogy between the respective diffusion equations focused on attenuation and dispersion. Let us consider the thermoelastic case. From eqs (1) and (3), we obtain
\[ \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j = 2\mu \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_j + \lambda \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_i \delta_{ij} - \beta \Delta T = 0. \]  
(A1)

Since \( \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_i = \dot{\epsilon}_i \dot{\epsilon}_i = \Delta \epsilon \), we have
\[ E \Delta \epsilon - \beta \Delta T = 0, \]  
(A2)

where \( E = \lambda + 2\mu = K + 4\mu/3 \). Combining eqs (4) and (A2) and after some calculations, we obtain
\[ T = \frac{\gamma}{c + \beta^2 T_0/E} \Delta T, \]  
(A3)

The quantity
\[ d_l = \frac{\gamma}{c + \beta^2 T_0/E} \]  
(A4)
is the corresponding thermal diffusivity. This quantity has been obtained by Zimmerman (2000) in a diffusion equation coupled to the rate of confining pressure (static thermo-poroelasticity) [his eq. (59)], and by Treitel (1959) in his eq. (17) relating the adiabatic P-wave modulus, \( E_a \), to the corresponding isothermal modulus, \( E \), that is, \( E_a = Ed/c \). Zero thermal expansion (\( \alpha = 0 \), \( \beta = 0 \)), \( E_a = E \). Eq. (17) in Carcione et al. (2018), relating the adiabatic and isothermal P-wave velocities, is equivalent to Treitel’s equation.

In poroelasticity, defining \( \Delta \rho = \rho_f \), where \( \rho_f \) is the fluid pressure, we obtain the diffusion equation
\[ d_h \Delta \rho = \partial_i \rho_i, \]  
(A5)

where
\[ d_h = M \left( \frac{\kappa}{\eta} \right) \left( \frac{K_m + 4\mu_m/3}{K_f + 4\mu_f/3} \right), \]  
(A6)
is the corresponding hydraulic diffusivity constant, where \( M \) is the fluid modulus, \( \eta \) is the fluid viscosity, \( \kappa \) is the hydraulic permeability, \( K_m \) and \( \mu_m \) are the dry-rock bulk and shear moduli, and \( K_f \) is the Gassmann bulk modulus. This is given by
\[ K_f = K_m + \left( 1 - \frac{K_m}{K_f} \right)^2 M, \]  
(A7)

where
\[ M = \frac{K_f}{1 - \phi - K_m/K_f + \phi K_m/K_f}, \]  
(A8)

\( \phi \) is the porosity, and \( K_m \) and \( K_f \) are the solid and fluid bulk moduli, respectively (Carcione 2014, eq. 7.336).

Comparing eqs (A3) and (A5), the analogy between \( T \) and \( P_f \) and \( d_h \) and \( d_l \) is clear. These diffusivities govern the wave-induced attenuation in thermoelastic and poroelastic media, respectively.

Alternatively, Biot (1956) obtains the analogy between the entropy, \( s \), in thermoelasticity and the variation of fluid content, \( \zeta \), in poroelasticity, where
\[ \frac{cT}{T_0} + \beta \epsilon = s \leftrightarrow \zeta = \frac{P_f}{M} + \alpha \epsilon, \]  
(A9)

\( T \leftrightarrow P_f \),
\[ 1/M \leftrightarrow c/T_0, \]  
\( \beta \leftrightarrow \alpha \) (Biot’s eqs 2.14 and 4.6; Carcione 2014, eq. 7.32). Both, \( s \) and \( \zeta \) satisfy diffusion equations similar to eqs (A3) and (A5), respectively.
APPENDIX B: THERMOELASTIC ATTENUATION BY A MEDIUM WITH SPHERICAL AND CYLINDRICAL PORES

Savage (1966) obtained the quality factor of the $P$ and $S$ waves for media filled with spheres (3-D) and cylindrical cavities (2-D) or pores, both of radius $a$. Eq. (2) in that paper is uniquely identified with the diffusion equation (4) if $D$, $\Gamma$ and $\rho c$ in Savage (1966) are identified with $\gamma/c$, $\beta/c$ and $c$ in our paper, respectively ($\sigma$ is the mass density). $\Gamma$ is called the Grüneisen ratio (see Appendix C).

Savage (1966) considered the $(x, y)$-plane for both the spherical and circular-cylinder cavities, and an applied plane strain $\epsilon_{12}$. This shear strain produces a dilatation in the cavities, which in turn generates a heat current and a temperature field $T$. Instead, for an applied pure dilatation (related to the bulk modulus), there is no energy dissipation, that is, when a small spherical cavity is placed in a medium with pure dilatation, the only strains introduced by the cavity are pure shears (Love 1944). The same situation happens in a circular-cylinder cavity, where the applied pure dilatation is the 2-D hydrostatic strain $\epsilon_{xx} = \epsilon_{yy}, \epsilon_{zz} = 0$, and $\epsilon_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$.

B1 Spherical pores

For $P$ waves,

$$Q_p = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1 - \tilde{\sigma}}{1 - 2\tilde{\sigma}} \cdot Q_s \tag{B1}$$

where $Q_s$ is the $S$-wave quality factor given below, and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the relaxed effective Poisson ratio for the porous medium (at zero frequency), given by

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{3\tilde{K} - 2\tilde{\mu}}{2(3\tilde{K} + \tilde{\mu})} \tag{B2}$$

where

$$\tilde{K} = \frac{K}{1 + 3\phi(1 - \sigma)}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{1 + 15\phi(1 - \sigma)} \tag{B3}$$

(Eshelby 1957), $\phi$ is the porosity, and $K$ and $\mu$ are the bulk and shear moduli of the mineral. Eq. (B1) is due to the absence of attenuation related to dilatational deformations, that is, the bulk modulus, $\tilde{K}$, is a real quantity in the frequency domain. If $\nu = c_p/c_s = 2(1 - \tilde{\sigma})/(1 - 2\tilde{\sigma})$ is the relaxed P/S velocity ratio, and $\text{Re}(\tilde{K}) \simeq \rho(\tilde{c}_p^2 - 4\tilde{c}_s^2/3)$ and $\text{Im}(\tilde{\mu}) \simeq \rho\tilde{c}_s^2$ (low-loss medium, it can be shown that $\nu(Q_{p^{-1}} - Q_{s^{-1}}) = (4/3)(Q_{p^{-1}} - Q_{s^{-1}})$. If $Q_{p^{-1}} = 0$, we obtain eq. (B1).

For $S$ waves,

$$Q_s^{-1} = \frac{16\mu\phi^2T_0}{3c\tilde{\mu}K} \cdot p(\sigma)(1 - 2\sigma)(1 + \sigma)F(\omega), \tag{B4}$$

where

$$p(\sigma) = \frac{135}{4(7 - 5\sigma)}, \quad F(\omega) = \frac{\chi^2(2\chi^2 + 5\chi + 4)}{[(2\chi^2 - 9\chi - 9)^2 + \chi^2(2\chi^2 + 8\chi + 9)]^2} \tag{B5}$$

$$\chi = \frac{\omega ca^2}{2\gamma}$$

and $\omega$ is the angular frequency. The quantities $\chi$, $p$ and $F$ are dimensionless. Pure dilatations do not cause attenuation.

Thermoelastic attenuation peaks approximately at the frequency

$$f_0 = \frac{\nu}{2ca}, \tag{B6}$$

where $\nu/c$ is a thermal diffusivity in eq. (4), and $\chi^2 = (\pi/2)(f/f_0)$.

**B2 Cylindrical pores**

For $P$ waves, $Q_p$ is given by eq. (B1). For $S$ waves, $Q_s^{-1} = \frac{16\mu\phi^2T_0}{3c\tilde{\mu}K} \cdot (1 - 2\sigma)(1 + \sigma)F(\omega)$, where

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{1 - 4\phi(1 - \sigma)} \tag{B7}$$

$$F(\omega) = |b|^2 \text{Re} \left[ \frac{H_1^{(1)}(b)}{H_2^{(1)}(b)} \right], \tag{B8}$$

where $H_1^{(1)}$ are cylindrical Hankel functions and the prime indicates a derivative with respect to the argument.

The value of the minimum quality factor depends mainly on $\beta = 3\alpha K$, the higher $\alpha$, the lower the $Q$ factor, see eqs (B4) and (B7). Note also that increasing the absolute temperature $T_0$ implies higher attenuation.

APPENDIX C: THERMOELASTIC ATTENUATION BY FINE LAYERING

Let us consider a periodic system of alternating layers (slabs) each with thickness $h$, much smaller than the signal wavelength. We assume that the attenuation is small, that is, $Q \gg 1$. Armstrong obtained the relaxation peak caused by the passage of a $P$ wave. There is an induced temperature variation, which in turn induces a heat current and attenuation. Due to the different notations, we compare our eq. (4) to eq. (1) in Armstrong (1984). The equivalence is $\chi \leftrightarrow \gamma/c, \gamma \leftrightarrow \beta/c$ and $K \leftrightarrow \gamma$, where the left-hand side properties correspond to those of Armstrong (1984). He gives the result for the case where only the Grüneisen ratio,

$$\Gamma = \frac{\beta}{c} \tag{C1}$$

(dimensionless) varies from slab to slab.

For cyclic boundary conditions of continuity of temperature and thermal current, we have

$$Q_p = \frac{q(c_\gamma \cos q + c_\sin q)}{\sinh q - \sin q}, \quad Q_{ro}, \quad q = h\sqrt{\frac{\alpha c}{2\gamma}}, \tag{C2}$$

where

$$\frac{Q_{ro}}{cT_0(\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1)^2} \tag{C3}$$

$$E = K + \frac{4}{3}\mu \tag{C4}$$

is the relaxed $P$-wave modulus.

APPENDIX D: ZENER MECHANICAL MODEL

The Zener or standard-linear-solid model can be used to approximate the quality factors. The complex modulus of the Zener model should be defined, even though the Zener model is often used. The relaxation peak caused by the passage of a $P$ wave.
where \( f_0 \) is the relaxation frequency, \( Q_0 \) is the minimum quality factor at \( f_0 \), \( M_0 \) is the zero-frequency modulus, \( f \) is the frequency and \( i = \sqrt{-1} \). The unrelaxed modulus \((f \to \infty)\) is \( M_\infty = [(R + 1)(R - 1)]M_0 \), and the following relations holds, \( Q_0 = 2\sqrt{M_\infty M_0}/(M_\infty - M_0) \), so that the modulus dispersion \( M_\infty - M_0 \) can approximately be obtained from \( Q_0 \). Eq. (D1) has been obtained by Zener (1937) for a rod of arbitrary cross-section vibrating transversely, where \( M_0 \) and \( M_\infty \) correspond to the isothermal and adiabatic moduli [Zener (1938); Mainardi (2010), eq. (3.41)].

The Zener \( Q \) factor is

\[
Q_Z = \frac{\text{Re}(M)}{\text{Im}(M)} = \frac{Q_0}{2} \frac{1 + (f/f_0)^2}{f/f_0} \tag{D2}
\]

(e.g. Carcione 2014), and the phase velocity is

\[
c_{ph} = \left[ \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{v_c} \right\} \right]^{-1}, \quad v_c = \sqrt{\frac{M}{\rho}}, \tag{D3}
\]

where \( v_c \) is the complex velocity and \( \rho \) is the mass density (e.g. Carcione 2014).